



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,753	02/20/2004	Damien Le Moal	16869P-105000US	7385
20350	7590	08/22/2005	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			PATEL, KAUSHIKKUMAR M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2188	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/783,753	LE MOAL ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kaushikkumar Patel	2188

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2004 and 22 April 2005.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/20/04 4/22/05</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Priority***

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

***Information Disclosure Statement***

2. The Information Disclosure Statements filed on 2/20/2004 and 4/22/2005 have been considered. It is noted that Japanese document 2001-051901 has been considered to the extent made possible by the English language abstract.

***Drawings***

3. The drawings were received on 2/20/2004. These drawings are acceptable.

***Specification***

4. The disclosure is objected to because of following informalities:

Page 1, paragraph [0006], lines 1-3, "In the host...processing arise." Should be "Two problems arise in the host computer accessing data through the network connected to the storage system, a drop in data transfer rate and an increase in the amount of time necessary for input/output request processing."

Page 3, paragraph [0013], line 3, "device drives" should be "device drivers".

Page, 4, paragraph [0019], lines, 1-2, "a processing unit...the main storage;" should be "a processing unit that caches the data retrieved from the main storage in the cache storage;"

Page 6, paragraph [0037], line 2, "in s104' should be "in step (s104)".

Page 7, paragraph [0037], line 2, "in both s107 and s108" should be "in both steps (s107) and (s108)".

On page 8, paragraph [0043], line 1, "steps s104 and s105" should be "steps (s104) and (s105)", and in line 4, "step s104" should be "step (s104)".

On page 12, line 1, "When streaming is sent" should be "When streaming data is sent".

On page 12, line 3, "device adapter that connects a server" should be "device adapter that connects a host".

Appropriate corrections required.

#### ***Claim Objections***

5. Claims 1-10 are objected to because of following informalities:

Claim 1, line 6-7, "a processing unit...main storage;" should be "a processing unit that caches, data retrieved from the main storage to the cache storage;".

Claim 2, line 1, "caching processing" should be "cache processing".

All dependent claims are objected to as having the same deficiencies as the claims they depend from.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

6. Claims 1-10 are allowable over the prior art of record, but objected to as set forth above.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Satoh et al. (5,640,600) teaches a device adapter with cache memory, a control memory, and a channel adapter. The channel adapter comprises the bus load estimating means, bus load measuring means, bus selecting means. The channel adapter and storage device adapter accesses the bus in accordance with bus mode selected by the bus mode selecting means. Satoh et al. fails to teach device adapter, which selects cache storage or main storage depending on load characteristics of cache storage even after data have already been cached in cache storage.

Tsuboi et al. (5,623,631) teaches a controller which comprises, a cache memory for storing part of data and a directory for recording management information of controller and director for controlling the cache and directory, controlling data transfer between the CPU and the cache or storage unit. Tsuboi teaches directory which manages management information but fails to teach which of the main storage or cache to select depending upon the load of cache storage.

Day III et al. (US 2001/0011325 A1) teaches the disk caching method with intermediary controller. The caching method asynchronously requests the data from both the main storage and intermediary cache. The intermediary controller selects the

main storage or intermediary cache depending upon the hit ratio. The method fails to teach selecting main storage or cache storage after data have already been cached.

Liu et al. (US 2004/0117441 A1) teaches a method and system directed to reduce the bottleneck to storage. Liu teaches data aware data flow manager determines which data to cache and which data to pipe directly through. The data flow manager caches the selected data, but fails to teach the method of selecting either main storage or cache storage after finding that the data already has been cached, depending on the load of the cache storage.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaushikkumar Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-5536. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00 am - 4.30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*Kaushik*  
Kaushikkumar Patel  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2188

\*\*\*

*Reginald D. Bragdon*  
REGINALD G. BRAGDON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER